Name	Organisation	Please enter your e-mail address:	Postcode	Comments	Submitted at
Zhou Zhou		zzhahahoho@hotmail.com	NW6 7DY	I support it in general. In particular I would like to see the overall state of Willesden Lane and surrounding residential streets be improved - better street cleaning so less fly tipping and littering (there is a lot all the time currently), feeling of safety (lots of youth gatherings and car gatherings), less homeless people and less drug users (often see them around Willesden Lane and in the cemetery). I'd also like to see improvements in shopfronts on Willesden Lane as a lot are derelict, covered with graffiti, very unsightly not a great high street, and attracting further littering / ASB.	06/01/2025 19:15:1
Lloyd Fothergill	Brent Eleven Streets RA	lloyd.fothergill@gmail.com	NW6 7ET	Aspirational, inspiring and hopefully deliverable	06/04/2025 08:59:3
Francesca Murialdo		fm@labomint.com	NW6 7EA	The plan is very valuable tool that will have a very possible impact on the neighborhood	06/07/2025 21:38:3
Olu Sowunmi		osowunmi@aol.com	NW2 3UQ	Kilburn Neighbourhood Plan Consultation	06/08/2025 09:46:5
David Kaye		david.m.kaye@btopenworld.com	NW6 7SA	I am writing to give general support for the adoption of the neighbourhood plan for the whole of Kilburn The Plan is to be welcomed however there are some errors:	06/08/2025 10:40:3
Andrew Lowe		andrew@lowearchitecture.co.uk	NW^7SB	On the Open Green Spaces Map it is misleading to show some insignificant gardens such as Glengall Rd and then show none others (Brondesbury Rd gardens are far bigger and form a corridor) - either show all private gardens or none. This needs consistency. Open green spaces such as Streatley Rd Park, Kimberley Park Willesden Lane are not shown. The North London Railway is an official wildlife corridor in the London Plan and yet does not appear on your map. On the map of Entertainment Centres the Kilburn Arms, Willesden Lane is incorrectly located. Under the Listed Buildings, it is incorrect to say just Paddington Old Cemetery chapels are Listed - the Listing includes the Lodge buildings, it also includes the entirety of the cemetery as a Listed Landscape. Under Locally Listed Buildings, this only lists the Camden buildings. On the Brent side you have: Brondesbury Mews 10 Brondesbury Rd 76-82 Brondesbury Rd 41-61 Kilburn High Rd Cock Tavern Kilburn high Rd Earl Derby Kilburn high Rd S259-267 Kilburn High Rd S259-267 Kilburn High Rd Kilburn Arms, 99-101 Willesden Lane Christ Church School 138-142 Willesden Lane 96-98 Brondesbury Rd	06/09/2025 21:43:0
Pete Firmin		petefirmin@btinternet.com	NW6 5TA	An overall comment - it should not be referred to as the Kilburn Neighbourhood Plan, since, by decision of Brent Council, South Kilburn is not part of it.	06/12/2025 11:33:
Will Mowat		willmow@icloud.com	NW6 7SB	I welcome the report and congratulate the committee on its attention to detail. I support the elements of the Plan that seek to stamp an identity on Kilburn, which has historically been rather sidelined as the Cinderella of both Camden and Brent boroughs. Historically, it has suffered by being split in two by the A5 trunk road pedestrianising Kilburn High Road would be the single biggest step that that the boroughs could take to unlock Kilburn's potential. Of course, this will never happen, so Kilburn will will forever remain hamstrung by the ever-flowing traffic. My other observation concerns the cultural mix of the people who live and work in Kilburn. The last 20 or so years have seen the long-standing yet ageing Irish and Caribbean communities displaced by - well, no one is quite sure. Afghans, Syrians, Iranians, Iraqis, Somalis, the majority of whom owe no allegiance to their host country, at least for the first few years after their arrival (assuming they stay that long). These transient communities live parallel lives to the indigenous population. This means that the Neighbourhood Plan is being drawn up with very little input from them. And in a way, it has to be like that, because the Plan is a long-term project, whereas these communities are in transit. The cultural mix could be seen as enriching city life, but that is at the expense of the Plan's attempts to create sustained social cohesion.	06/17/2025 09:48:2
Satvinder Riyat		satsriyat@gmail.com	HA0 3FD	The overall Plan is very comprehensive, gives clear information on the objectives identified for the area. The details also provide good information on the vision the Forum has for the aea.	06/26/2025 14:08:0
Tom Clarke MRTPI	Theatres Trust	planning@theatrestrust.org.uk	WC2H 0QL	Policy DK4: Social and Community facilities We welcome and support this policy, which identifies valued local facilities including the Kiln Theatre and former Gaumont State and sets out strong protection against loss as well as support for improvement of facilities and return to wider use of the Gaumont State. We consider this policy to be consistent with statutory requirements, and that it complements existing Local Plan, London Plan and NPPF policy.	07/10/2025 10:13:4
Fraser Moore	Brent Cycling Campaign	fraserdmoore@gmail.com	NW6 7DN	Brent Cycling Campaign (BCC) broadly supports the Draft Kilburn Neighbourhood Plan presented by the Kilburn Neighbourhood Plan Forum. BCC supports proposals within the draft plan to create healthy neighbourhoods, as well as play streets and school streets. BCC supports proposals to improve public realm, introduce greening and trial closures of residential roads to create public space. BCC supports the proposals to deliver more mobility hubs in Kilburn and supports proposals for better wayfinding for those walking and cycling. However, BCC would encourage the plan to go further and be bolder in calling for segregated cycling infrastructure in Kilburn, particularly on Kilburn High Road and other main roads in Kilburn, including at major junctions.	07/10/2025 19:48:5